

REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action mailed on March 17, 2006. In the Office Action, claims 1-40 were subject to the following restriction requirement:

- I. Claims 1-31, 39-40, drawn to a container for holding and dispensing liquid, classified in class 222, subclass 105.
- II. Claims 32-38, drawn to a method of forming a container, classified in class 264, subclass 503.

Election:

Pursuant to the Examiner's restriction requirement, Applicant elects invention I, which is drawn to a container for holding and dispensing liquid. The claims that read on invention I include claims 1-31, 39 and 40.

Applicant's election is made with traverse. In view of the amendment of claim 32 to depend on claim 1, inventions I and II are so related that a search and examination of the entire application, including all of the claims (1-40), may be made without serious burden to the Examiner.


Claim Amendments:

With this Amendment, claim 32 has been amended to depend from claim 1, and claims 33-38 have been amended for consistency with the claim elements recited in claim 1.

Respectfully submitted,

KINNEY & LANGE, P.A.

Date: 4/12/06

By: 

David R. Fairbairn, Reg. No. 26,047
THE KINNEY & LANGE BUILDING
312 South Third Street
Minneapolis, MN 55415-1002
Telephone: (612) 339-1863
Fax: (612) 339-6580

DRF:ANS:dlo